Report No: NCP-RP-2015-019 N/C Report Date: October 20, 2017



TenCate Advance Composites IM7 GP Unitape with BT250E-6 Resin Material Allowables Statistical Analysis Report

Report Date: October 20, 2017

Report Number: NCP-RP-2015-019 N/C

Elizabeth Clarkson, Ph.D.

Prepared by:

Elizabeth Clarkson

Reviewed by:

Vinsensius Tanoto

Evelyn Lian

Approved by:

Royal Lovingfoss

REVISIONS:

Rev	Ву	Date	Pages Revised or Added
N/C	Elizabeth Clarksor	10/2200/17	Document Initial Release

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	5
1.1 Symbols and Abbreviations	6
1.2 Pooling Across Environments	8
1.3 Basis Value Computational Process	8
1.4 Modified Coefficient of Variation (CV) Method	8
2. Background	10
2.1 CMH17 STATS Statistical Formulas and Computations	10
2.1.1 Basic Descriptive Statistics	
2.1.2 Statistics for Pooled Data	1.0
2.1.2.1 Pooled StandardDeviation	
2.1.2.2 Pooled Coefficient of Variation	
2.1.3 Basis Value Computations	1.1
2.1.3.1 K-factor computations	11
2.1.4 Modified Coefficient of Variation	12
2.1.4.1 Transformation of data based on Modified CV	12
2.1.5 Determination of Outliers	
2.1.6 The k-Sample Anderson DarlingTest for Batch Equivalency	14
2.1.7 The Anderson Darling Test for Normality	15
2.1.8 Levene's Test for Equality of Coefficient of Variation	16

4.5 In-Plane Shear (IPS)	41
4.6 "33/0/67" Unnotched Compression 0 (UNC0)	43
4.7 Lamina Short-Beam Strength (SBS)	45
5. Outliers	

1.

agencies. NCAMP assumes no liability whatsoever, expressed or implied, related to the use of the material property data, material bwables, and specifications.

Part fabricators that wish to utilize the madeproperty data, allowables, and specifications may be able to do so by demonstrating the capability produce the originathaterial properties; a process known as equivalency. More informatiabout this equivalency process including the test statistics and sitlimitations can be found in Sconti 6 of DOT/FAA/AR-03/19 and Section 8.4.1 of CMH-17-1G. The applicability of equivalency process must be evaluated on program-by-program basis by the applicant and certifying gency. The applicant and certifying agency must agree that the equivalency test plan aloiting the equivalency process described in Section 6 of DOT/FAA/AR-03/19 and Section 8.4.1 of CMH-17-1G are adequate for the given program.

Aircraft companies should not use the data **isble**d in this report without specifying NCAMP Material Specification NNS 250/1. NMS 250/1 has additional rerepriments that are listed in its prepreg process control document (PCD), fibercification, fiber PCDand other raw material specifications and PCDs which impose essentiallity controls on theaw materials and raw material manufacturing equipment and procesAirscraft companies and certifying agencies should assume that the materiabperty data published in thiseport is not applicable when the material is not procured to NCAMP daterial Specification NMS 250/NMS 250/1is a free, publicly available, non-projectary aerospace industry aterial specification.

This report is intended for generalistribution to the publiceither freely or at price that does not exceed the cost of reproduction (e.g. tiprig) and distribution (e.g. postage).

Test Property	Abbreviation
Longitudinal Compression	n LC
Longitudinal Tension	LT
Transverse Compression	TC
Transverse Tension	TT
In-Plane Shear	IPS
Short Beam Strength	SBS
Unnotched Compression	UNC0

1.1 Symbols and Abbreviations

Table 1-1: Test Property Abbreviations

Test Property	Symbol
Longitudinal Compression Strength	1°F
Longitudinal Compression Modulus	۱°E
Longitudinal Compression Poisson's Ratio	12 ^C
Longitudinal Tension Strength	1 ^t F
Longitudinal Tension Modulus	۱E
Longitudinal Tension Poisson's Ratio	12 ^t
Transverse Compseion Strength	2 ^{Eu}
Transverse Compression Modulus	₂ c E
	C

Transverse Compression Poisson's Ratio 21^c

1.2 Pooling Across Environments

The material allowables in this report accelculated using both the as-measured CV and modified CV, so users have the basice of using eitheone. When the measured CV is greater than 8%, the modified CV method does not another the basis value. NCAMP recommended values make use of the modified CV model when it is appropriate for the data.

When the data fails the Anderson-Darling K-samtekst for batch to batch variability or when the data fails the normality test, the modified ONe thod is not appropriate and no modified CV basis value will be provided. When the ANOVA ethod is used, it may produce excessively conservative basis values. When appropriatesingle batch or two batch estimate may be provided in addition to the ANOVA estimate.

In some cases a transformation of the datate to define assumption of the modified CV resulted in the transformed data passing the ADK test and the salata can be pooled only for the modified CV method.

NCAMP recommends that if a user decides to the basis values that are calculated from asmeasured CV, the specification limits and control tish be calculated with as-measured CV also. Similarly, if a user decides to use the basis that are calculated from modified CV, the specification limits and control limits be calculated hymodified CV also. This will ensure that the link between material allowables, spectiona limits, and control limits is maintained.

2. Background

Statistical computations are performed with BM7 STATS. Pooling across environments will be used whenever it is permissible accorded to CMH-17-1G guidelines. If pooling is not permissible, the results of single point analysis provideby CMH17 STATS is included instead. If the data does not meat/IH-17-1G requirements for angle point analysis, estimates are created by a variety of theds depending on which is mospopropriate for the dataset available. Specific procedures used are predeimte individual sections where the data is presented.

2.1 CMH17 STATS Statistical Formulas and Computations

This section contains the details of the specific formulas CMH17 STATS uses in its computations.

2.1.1

Wherek refers to the number of batchesindicates the stadard deviation of sample, and refers to the number of specimens in ithe ample.

2.1.2.2 Pooled Coefficient of Variation

Since the mean for the normalized data is 1.0 for each condition, the pooled normalized data also has a mean of one. The coefficient of variation the pooled normalized data is the pooled standard deviation divided by the poled mean, as in equation 3. Since the mean for the pooled i

Step 1: Apply the modified CV rules teach batch and compute the modified standard deviation

If MNR > C, then the X_i associated with the MNR is considerted be an outlier. If an outlier exists, then the X_i associated with the MNR is dropped rfr the dataset and the MNR procedure is applied again. This process is repeated in outliers are detected. Additional information on this procedure can be found in references 1 and 2.

2.1.6 The k-Sample Anderson Darling Test for Batch Equivalency

The k-sample Anderson-Darling test is a nonprestaic statistical procedure that tests the hypothesis that the populations from hich two or more groups of the were drawn are identical. The distinct values in the combined data are ordered from smallest to largest, denoted $z_{(2)}, \ldots z_{(L)}$, where L will be less than n if there are tied observations. These rankings are used to compute the test statistic.

The k-sample Anderson-Diang test statistic is:

Where

 n_i = the number of test specimens in each batch

 $n = n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_k$

 h_{j} = the number of values in the combined samples equal to H_{j}

```
a (4g \ 6)(k \ 1) (10 6g)S

b (2g \ 4)k^2 8Tk (2g 14T 4)S 8T 4g 6

c (6T \ 2g \ 2)k^2 (4T 4g 6)k (2T 6)S 4T

d (2T \ 6)k^2 4Tk

S \frac{k}{1} \frac{1}{n_i}

T \frac{n \ 1}{i \ 1} \frac{1}{i}

g \frac{n \ 2 \ n \ 1}{i \ 1 \ i \ 1} \frac{1}{(n \ i) j}
```

An observed significance level (OSL) based on Anderson-Darling test statistic is computed for each test. The OSL measures the probability baserving an Anderson-Darling test statistic at least as extreme as the value calculated eifdthatribution under consideration is in fact the underlying distribution of the data. In other words, the OSL is the probability of obtaining a value of the test statistiat least as large albat obtained if the hypothesis that the data are actually from the distribution being tested is true. If the OSL is less than or equal to 0.05, then This approximation is accurate to within 0.2%tbe tabulated values for sample sizes greater than or equal to 16.

2.2.2.2 One-sided A-basis tolerance factors, k for the normal distribution

The exact computation ot_{B} values id/\sqrt{n} times the 0.95th quantile of the noncentral t-distribution with noncentrality paramet@:326 \sqrt{n} and n í 1 degrees of freedom (Reference 11). Since this is not a calcular that Excel can handle easity following approximation to the k_B values is used:

2.2.2.3.2 Goodness-of-fit test for the Weibull distribution

The two-parameter Weibull distribution is citates device by comparing the cumulative Weibull distribution function thabest fits the data with the cumulative distribution function of the data.

$$V_A$$
 6.649 exp 2.55 0.526 lm() $\frac{4.76^3}{n}$

Equation 46

This approximation is accurate within 0.5% of the tabulated values greater than requal to 16.

Weibull Dist. K Factors for N<16								
N	B-basis	A-basis						
2	690.804	1284.895						
3	47.318	88.011						
4	19.836	36.895						
5	13.145	24.45						
6	10.392	19.329						
7	8.937	16.623						
8	8.047	14.967						
9	7.449	13.855						
10	6.711	12.573						
11	6.477	12.093						
12	6.286	11.701						
13	6.127	11.375						
14	5.992	11.098						
15	5 875	10 861						

 15
 5.875
 10.861

 Table 2-2: Weibull Distribution Basis Value Factors

2.2.2.4 Lognormal Distribution

A probability distribution for while the probability that an obsertion selected at random from this population falls between and b = 0 a b is given by the area under the normal distribution between (a) and ln(b).

The lognormal distribution is a poisitely skewed distribution that is simply related to the normal distribution. If something isognormally distributed, then itsogarithm is normally distributed. The natural (base e) logarithm is used.

2.2.2.4.1 Goodness-of-fit test for the Lognormal distribution

In order to test the goodness-itf of the lognormal distribution, kee the logarithm of the data and perform the Anderson-Darling test for normality from Section 2.1.7. Using the natural logarithm, replace the linked equation below:

$$z_i = \frac{\ln x_i}{s_L}$$
, for i 1,K,n Equation 47

where x_0 is the th smallest sample observation, and x are the mean and standard deviation of the ln(x) values.

The Anderson-Darling statistic is then computising the linked equation above and the observed significance level (OSIs computed using the linkequation above. This OSL measures the probability of observing an AnderSarling statistic at least as extreme as the

0 ∛∡ value calculated if in fact the data arsemple from a lognormal distribution. If OS10.05, one may conclude (at a five percent risk of high error) that the population is not lognormally distributed. Otherwise, the hypotesis that the population lisegnormally distributed is not rejected. For further information on these procedures, see reference

2.2.2.4.2 Basis value calculations for the Lognormal distribution

If the data set is assumed to be from a population a lognormal distribution, basis values are calculated using the equation above in section 82. However, the calculations are performed using the logarithms of the data rather than the original observations. The computed basis values are then transformed back to the original units **p**ylying the inverse of

2.2.3.2 Non-parametric Basis Values for small samples

The Hanson-Koopmans method (references 8 arid 9) sed for obtaining a B-basis value for sample sizes not exceeding 28 and A-basis valures afople sizes less than 299. This procedure requires the assumption that the observation sample from a population for which

n	r	k
2	2	35.177
3	3	7.859
4	4	4.505
5	4	4.101
6	5	3.064
7	5	2.858
8	6	2.382
9	6	2.253
10	6	2.137
11	7	1.897
12	7	1.814
13	7	1.738
14	8	1.599
15	8	1.540
16	8	1.485
17	8	1.434
18	9	1.354
19	9	1.311
20	10	1.253
21	10	1.218
22	10	1.184
23	11	1.143
24	11	1.114
25	11	1.087
26	11	1.060
27	11	1.035
28	12	1.010

2.2.4.1 Calculation of basis values using ANOVA

The following calculations addresst **ba**-to-batch variability. Inother words, the only grouping is due to batches and the k-sample Anderson-**Dba**t**ei**st (Section 2.1.6) dicates that the batch to batch variability is too large to pool the dataThe method is based on the one-way analysis of variance random-effects model, and the **cpdu**re is documented in reference 10.

ANOVA separates the total variation (called then souf squares) of the data into two sources: between batch variation and thain batch variation.

First, statistics are computed for eachtcba which are indicated with a subscript, \overline{x} , \overline{s}^2

while statistics that were computed with the reentilataset do not haves abscript. Individual data values are represted with a double subscripthe first number indiated the batch and the second distinguishing between the individuata values within the batchk stands for the number of batches in the analysis. With the statistics, the Sum of Squares Between batches (SSB) and the Total Sum of Squares (SST) are computed:

SSB $\stackrel{k}{l}$ $\overline{p} \cdot \hat{x}$ $\overline{n} \hat{x}$ Equation 52SST $\stackrel{k}{l}$ $\stackrel{n}{l}$ $\overline{n} \hat{x}$ Equation 53

The within-batch, or error, sum of squares (SSE) is computed by subtraction

Next, the mean sums of squares are computed:

$$MSB \quad \frac{SSB}{k \quad 1} \qquad Equation 55$$

$$MSE \quad \frac{SSE}{n \quad k} \qquad Equation 56$$

Since the batches need not have equal numberseofmens, an 'effective batch size,' is defined as

 $n c \frac{n \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta^{2}}{k 1} Equation 57$

Using the two mean squares and the effective hosize, an estimate the population standard deviation is computed:

$$S \sqrt{\frac{MSB}{n}} = \frac{nc}{nc} \frac{S}{c} MSE = \frac{1}{s^{1}} C$$
Equation 58

Two k-factors ap0 computed using the methodologyTf 4section 2.2.2 using a sample sizeof 4 n

However, if the laminate CV is larger than the theoresponding lamina CV he larger laminate CV value is used.

The LVM B-basis value is then computed as:

LVM Estimated B-Basis = $\overline{X}_1 = K_{N_1,N_2} = \overline{X}_1 \max CV_1, CV_2$ ~ Equation 62

When used in conjunction with the modified CV

3. Summary of Results

The basis values for all tests are summarized be following tables. The NCAMP recommended B-basis values meet all requirements of CMH1G.- However, not all test data meets those requirements. The summary tablers vide a complete listing call computed basis values and estimates of basis values. Data that does meet the requirements of CMH-17-1G are shown in shaded boxes and labeled as estimates. Basis values and estimates computed with the modified coefficient of variation (CV) are presented whenever possiBless values and estimates computed without that modification are presented for all tests.

3.1 NCAMP Recommended B-basis Values

The following rules are used in determining what basis value, if any, isocluded in Table 3-1 of the recommended values.

- 1. Recommended values are NEVER estimates basis values that meet all requirements of CMH-17-1G are recommended.
- 2. Modified CV basis values are preferrece commended values will be the modified CV basis value when available. The provided with the recommended basis value will be the one used in the computation of the basis value.
- 3. Only normalized basis values are given properties that are normalized.
- 4. ANOVA B-basis values are not commended since only threatches of material are available and CMH-17-1G recomm

6

Lamina Strength Tests

						0.2%	5%		
						Offset	Strain		
B-basis	302.313	NA:A	4.507	26.073	NA:A	7.837	11.156	NA:A	
Mean	353.063	221.839	5.957	33.194	12.422	8.849	12.706	86.009	
CV	7.772	7.150	13.027	11.482	11.151	6.000	6.000	7.150	
B-basis	295.892	184.907	NA:A	NA:A	NA:A	5.942	8.918	70.218	
Mean	346.642	205.905	5.701	27.527	9.874s	9.8(2)04(8)-0)5e9.899.0	09	
4	(b	а)	f	(5)	-

3.2 Lamina Summary Tables

Prepreg Material: Material Specification: Process Specification:	TenCate / NMS 250/ NPS 8125	Advance C /1 50	omposites l	IM7 GP Unita	ape with BT25	0E-6 Resin			
Fiber:	IM7 12k Unitape			Resin:	TenCate BT250E-6				
	Tg(dry):	281.24 (F		Tg(wet):	241.92 	Tg METHOD: ASTM D7028			
			Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3				
Date of fiber manufacture			1/29/11	8/26/10	4/14/11	Date of testing	Nov 2011 - Apr 2012		
Date of resin manufacture *			3/8/11	5/10/11	5/10/11	Date of data submittal	Jul-12		
Date of prepreg manufacture Date of composite manufacture		3/15/11	5/17/11	5/19/11	Date of analysis	Nov-15			

Values shown in shaded boxes do not meet CMH17 Rev G requirements and are estimates only

	B-Basis	Modified CV B-basis	Mean	B-Basis	Modified CV B-basis	Mean	B-Basis	Modified CV B-basis	Mean	B-Basis	Modified CV B-basis	Mean
F_1^{tu}	307.133	305.990	356.822	306.096	304.953	355.785				280.184	279.041	329.872
(ksi)	(244.362)	(302.313)	(353.063)	(292.880)	295.892	(346.642)				(273.022)	(276.035)	(326.785)
E1t			22.576			22.603						23.133
(Msi)			(22.334)			(22.015)						(22.922)
12 ^t			0.322			0.309						0.324
F2 ^{tu} (ksi)	4.507	NA	5.957	3.211	4.641	5.701				0.845	1.742	2.249
E ₂ ^t (Msi)			1.364			1.248						0.939
F1 ^{cu} (ksi)	151.947	191.481	223.275	195.164	192.029	213.784	153.051	149.546	173.865	120.605	117.494	139.077
from UNC0 **	(144.794)	(189.815)	(221.839)	(182.648)	(184.907)	(205.905)	(142.033)	(145.435)	(168.908)	(101.495)	(116.869)	(137.700)
E1 ^c			20.430			20.228			NA			19.804
(Msi)			(20.308)			(19.426)			NA			(19.401)
F2 ^{cu} (ksi)	26.073	NA	33.194	16.877	23.527	27.527			5.8075 (3	3869822173.		



4. Test Results, Statistics, Beis Values, and Graphs

Test data for fiber dominated properties was normalized according to nominal cured ply thickness. Both normalized and as-measured **tista**tivere included in the tables, but only the normalized data values were graphed. **Tissil**ures, outliers and explanations regarding computational choices were noted **time** accompanying text for each test.

All individual specimen resultare graphed for each test beytch and environmental condition with a line indicating the recommended basis values for each vieonmental condition. The data is jittered (moved slightly to the left or right) order for all specimen values to be clearly visible. The strength values and theorem on the vertical solution. The scale adjusted to include all data values and theorem of the basis values. The strength values and theorem of the basis values.

4.1 Longitudinal Tension (LT)

The longitudinal tension sting the are normalized. Pooling across the environments was acceptable with the exception of the normalized DCI ataset. That dataset failed the Anderson Darling k-sample test (ADK test)

4.2

Transverse Tension Strength Basis Values and							
Statistics							
As-measured							
Env	CTD	RTD	ETW				
Mean	5.957	5.701	2.249				
Stdev	0.776	0.556	0.266				
CV	13.027	9.754	11.845				
Mod CV	13.027	9.754	11.845				
Min	4.424	4.573	1.682				
Max	7.017	6.832	2.798				
No. Batches	3	3	3				
No. Spec.	23	21	21				
Basis	Values and	Estimates					
B-basis Value	4.507						
B-estimate		3.211	0.845				
A-estimate	3.469	1.433	NA				
Method	Normal	ANOVA	ANOVA				
Basis Value Estir	nates with O	verride of Al	DK test				
B-estimate		4.641	1.742				
A-estimate	NA	3.887	1.380				
Method		Normal	Normal				

Table 4-3: Statistics and Basis Values for TT Strength data as-measured

Env CTD RTD ETW

Env	CTD	RTD	ETD	ETW	CTD	RTD	ETD	ETW
Mean	221.839	205.905	168.908	137.700	223.275	213.784	173.865	139.077

4.4 Transverse Compression (TC)

Transverse Compression datanoist normalized for unidirectinal tape. The RTD and ETW datasets failed the Anderson Darling k-samplese (ADK test) for batter to batch variability, which means that pooling across environments not acceptable an QdMH-17-1G guidelines required using the ANOVA analysis. With fewer that batter the source of the second s

When the ETW dataset was transformed acogration the assumptions of the modified CV method, it passed the ADK test, so the modified basis values are provided. The dataset for the RTD condition failed the ADK test after the dified CV transform, so only estimates are provided for that condition. Nonodified CV basis values exprovided for the CTD condition due to the CV being above 8%.

Env	CTD	RTD	ETW
Mean	33.194	27.527	14.267
Stdev	3.811	2.078	0.694
CV	11.482	7.550	4.865
Mod CV	11.482	7.775	6.432
Min	26.212	22.367	12.970
Max	40.379	31.136	15.844
No. Batches	3	3	3
No. Spec.	23	23	21



4.5 In-Plane Shear (IPS)

In Plane Shear data is not normalized. The stheatg5% strain datasets for the CTD and RTD conditions failed the Anderson Darling k-samplet (ADK test) for batch batch variability, which means that pooling across environments not acceptable at QdMH-17-1G guidelines required using the ANOVA analysis. With fewer that batches, this is consided an estimate.

When these datasets were transformed accorditing to ssumptions of the modified CV method, both passed the ADK test, so the modified basis values are provided.

There were two outliers, both in the CTD coroditi The largest value in batch three of the strength at 5% strain dataset and the largesevialbatch two of the 0.2% offset strength dataset

Env	CTD	RTD	ETW	CTD	RTD	ETW
Mean	12.706	10.084	5.137	8.849	6.709	3.617
Stdev	0.316	0.232	0.203	0.119	0.109	0.135
CV	2.484	2.296	3.955	1.344	1.619	3.726
Mod CV	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000	6.000
Min	11.893	9.663	4.666	8.603	6.415	3.325
Max	13.128	10.385	5.482	9.124	6.857	3.849

4.6 "33/0/67" Unnotched Compression 0 (UNC0)

The UNC0 data is normalized. The CTD data should be normalized and as-measured, and the normalized ETW dataset all failed the Andersonnling k-sample test (DK test) for batch to batch variability, which meant that pooling races environments was not acceptable and CMH-17-1G guidelines required using ANOVA analysis. With fewer than 5 batches, this is considered an estimate.

When the normalized ETW dataset was transformed according to the assumptions of the modified CV method, it passed the ADK test, so theodified CV basis values are provided. The datasets for the CTD condition failed the ADK test the modified CV transform, so only estimates are provided for that condition.

There were no outliers.

Statistics and estimates of basis values average for strength data in Table 4-11 and for the modulus data in Table 4-12. The normalized dentates the B-estimates are shown graphically in Figure 4-6.



Env	CTD	RTD	ETD	ETW	CTD	RTD	ETD	ETW
Mean	86.009	78.244	63.676	53.636	85.971	79.747	65.748	53.636
Stdev	6.150	4.639	3.898	3.052	5.971	4.807	4.092	3.091
CV	7.150	5.929	6.122	5.691	6.945	6.027	6.224	5.764

4.7 Lamina Short-Beam Strength (SBS)

The Short Beam Strength data is not normalized. SBS datasets failed the Anderson Darling k-sample test (ADK test) for batch to batchriability, which meas that pooling across environments was not acceptable and CIM7H1G guidelines required using the ANOVA analysis. With fewer than 5 batches, this is c

Short Beam Strength (SBS) Basis Values and Statistics As- measured							
Env	CTD	RTD	ETD	ETW			
Mean	12.422	9.874	8.029	5.171			
Stdev	1.385	0.917	0.601	0.477			
CV	11.151	9.291	7.488	9.229			
Mod CV	11.151	9.291	7.744	9.229			
Min	9.811	8.236	7.026	4.404			
Max	14.800	11.417	8.762	5.922			
No. Batches	3	3	3	3			
No. Spec.	21	21	22	21			
Basis Values and Estimates							
B-estimate	3.789	4.035	3.891	2.749			
A-estimate	NA	NA	0.936	1.020			
Method	ANOVA	ANOVA	ANOVA	ANOVA			
Modified CV Basis Values and Estimates							
B-estimate	9.783	8.126	6.856	4.261			
A-estimate	7.902	6.880	6.019	3.614			
Method	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			

Table 4-13: Statistics and Basis Values for SBS data

5. Outliers

Outliers were identified according to the stan**s** add cumented in section 2.1.5, which are in accordance with the guidelines developed in section 8.3.3 of CMH-17-1G. An outlier may be an outlier in the normalized data, the as-measured, dat both. A specimen may be an outlier for the batch only (before pooling the three batchies in a condition together) or for the condition (after pooling the three batches with a condition together) or both.

Approximately 5 out of 100 specimens will be itlified as outliers due to the expected random variation of the data. This testuised only to identify specimens to be investigated for a cause of the extreme observation. Outliers that have **antifiable** cause are removed from the dataset as they inject bias into the computation of statistiand basis values. Specimens that are outliers for the condition and in both the **moalized** and as-measured data typically more extreme and more likely to have a specific cause and benoved from the dataset than other outliers. Specimens that are outliers only for the bateat, not the condition and specimens that are identified as outliers only for the normalized data or the as-measured data but not both, are typical of normal random variation.

All outliers identified were investigated to telemine if a cause could found. Outliers with causes were removed from the tacket and the remaining specimens were analyzed for this report. Information about specimens that were one from the dataset along with the cause for removal is documented in the material propedata report, NCANP Test Report CAM-RP-2015-038 Rev N/C.

Outliers for which no causes could be identified ear